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Rationale and Objectives: To evaluate the relationship between measurements of lung volume (LV) on inspiratory/expiratory computed tomography (CT) scans, pulmonary function tests (PFT), and CT measurements of emphysema in individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Materials and Methods: Forty-six smokers (20 females and 26 males; age range 46–81 years), enrolled in the Lung Tissue Research Consortium, underwent PFT and chest CT at full inspiration and expiration. Inspiratory and expiratory LV values were automatically measured by open-source software, and the expiratory/inspiratory (E/I) ratio of LV was calculated. Mean lung density (MLD) and low attenuation area percent (< -950 HU) were also measured. Correlations of LV measurements with lung function and other CT indices were evaluated by the Spearman rank correlation test.

Results: LV E/I ratio significantly correlated with the following: the percentage of predicted value of forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1), the ratio of FEV1 to forced vital capacity (FVC), and the ratio of residual volume (RV) to total lung capacity (TLC) (FEV1%P, r = 0.56, P < .0001; FEV1/FVC, r = 0.59, P < .0001; RV/TLC, r = 0.57, P < .0001, respectively). A higher correlation coefficient was observed between expiratory LV and expiratory MLD (r = 0.73, P < .0001) than between inspiratory LV and inspiratory MLD (r = 0.46, P < .01). LV E/I ratio showed a very strong correlation to MLD E/I ratio (r = 0.95, P < .0001).

Conclusions: LV E/I ratio can be considered to be equivalent to MLD E/I ratio and to reflect airflow limitation and air-trapping. Higher collapsibility of lung volume, observed by inspiratory/expiratory CT, indicates less severe conditions in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Key Words: Lung volume; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; computed tomography; pulmonary emphysema; airflow obstruction.

©AUR, 2010

With the development of imaging analysis using computed tomography (CT) in individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), several quantitative CT indices have been advocated and proved to be significant for predicting lung function. The more commonly used indices are the percentage of low attenuation area (LAA%) and mean lung density (MLD). Further, these indices on expiratory CT scans have been often reported to be stronger predictors for lung function than those on inspiratory scans (1–11). Regarding MLD, it is also known that the expiratory/inspiratory (E/I) ratio of MLD demonstrates significant correlations with pulmonary function tests (PFTs) (4,5,12).

In contrast, lung volume (LV) measured by CT has not been as rigorously assessed in subjects with COPD. Although inspiratory/expiratory LV and plethysmographic measures of total lung capacity (TLC) and residual volume (RV) (1,2,13), the relationship between CT-based LV measurements, including...
LV E/I ratio, and airflow limitation or air-trapping is still undefined.

The relationship between MLD and LV has been gradually recognized. A recent study showed the direct relationship between inspiratory LV and MLD (14). Further, Zaporozhan and colleagues reported that the difference in MLD (ΔMLD) strongly correlated with the difference in LV (ΔLV) on paired inspiratory/expiratory CT scans, and that ΔLV correlated with forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1) (2). Based on these results, it can be predicted that expiratory LV would also reflect expiratory MLD, which is a useful CT index to predict lung function, and that LV E/I ratio would be correlated to MLD E/I ratio and be a predictor of lung function.

We therefore hypothesized that LV E/I ratio would show significant correlations with PFT as well as MLD E/I ratio, and that the CT-based LV measurements would be correlated with MLD measurements. Thus, the aims of this study are to clarify the relationship between PFT and LV measurements, including LV E/I ratio, and to confirm the correlation between LV measurements and other CT indices, in particular MLD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study and manuscript were reviewed and approved according to the procedures outlined by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Lung Tissue Research Consortium (LTRC). This study was also approved by the Institutional Review Board at Brigham and Women’s Hospital. Further information on the LTRC is available on the website (www.ltrcpublic.com).

Subjects

All subjects gave written informed consent. A total of 46 subjects (20 females and 26 males; age range, 46 – 81 years), who were enrolled in the LTRC, were included in this study. All subjects were current or former smokers (mean pack-years, 50.8 ± 38.4). Those who had pneumothorax, lobar atelectasis, huge bulla, interstitial pneumonia, a mass in the lung (>3 cm in diameter), or a previous history of a lung operation were not included in the study. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the subjects.

Pulmonary Function Tests

All 46 subjects performed prebronchodilator spirometry, including FEV1 and forced vital capacity (FVC), according to American Thoracic Society standards as described previously (15). Diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DLco) was measured by the single breath method. These values were expressed as the percentages of predicted values. RV and TLC were also measured using plethysmography (16). Table 1 summarizes the PFT results.

According to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease staging (17), 46 subjects were classified as follows: smokers with normal lung function, n = 6; Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease stage 1, n = 7; stage 2, n = 19; stage 3, n = 9; and stage 4, n = 5.

Thin-section CT

All subjects were scanned with 16-detector CT (Light Speed 16 or LightSpeed Pro16, GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) at full inspiration and full expiration without receiving a contrast medium. Before CT scanning, subjects were coached to hold breaths at full inspiration and full expiration. Images were obtained using 140 kV and 300 mA. The scanning field of view ranged from 28 to 44 cm, which was based on the subject’s body habitus. Exposure time was 0.53 seconds and the matrix size was 512 x 512 pixels. Images were reconstructed with a 1.25 mm slice thickness (with 0.625 mm overlapping), using the “Bone” algorithm.

Measurements of Lung Volume and other CT Indices

The analysis for LV and other CT indices was performed using free open-source software (Airway Inspector, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, MA) (www.airwayinspector.org), as described previously (18,19). The software automatically measured LV, LAA% (<950 HU), and MLD. In brief, the software executed the following process: the software segmented the lung parenchyma (~1024 to ~500 HU) from the chest wall and the hilum; LV was calculated by summing the voxels in this attenuation range; MLD was obtained by averaging the CT values of the voxels in the lung parenchyma; and the volume of LAA (~1024 to ~950) in the lung parenchyma was calculated and LAA% was obtained by dividing the total LAA volume by LV. In each subject, this process was performed both on inspiratory and expiratory scans. Figure 1 shows an example of the analysis done by the software. Ultimately, E/I ratios of LV and MLD, as well as the differences in LV and MLD (ΔLV and ΔMLD) between inspiratory and expiratory scans, were calculated.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP 7.0 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Data were expressed as mean ±

| TABLE 1. Clinical Characteristics of 46 LTRC Subjects |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Age (y)         | 67.7 ± 7.9      | 46–81           |
| Smoking index (pack-years) | 50.8 ± 38.4 | 5–180           |
| FEV1 (%predicted) | 57.9 ± 24.6    | 15–114          |
| FEV1/FVC        | 0.55 ± 0.14     | 0.25–0.81       |
| RV/TLC          | 0.50 ± 0.12     | 0.32–0.73       |
| DLco (%predicted) | 61.6 ± 22.2    | 22–103          |

DLco, diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC, forced vital capacity; LTRC, Lung Tissue Research Consortium; TLC, total lung capacity; RV, ratio of residual volume.
standard deviation. The linear regression analysis and the Spearman rank correlation analysis were used to estimate the relationships among measured CT indices, and between CT indices and PFT values. Multiple regression analysis was also performed using MLD as the dependent outcome to evaluate relative contributions of LAA% and LV, both on inspiratory and expiratory CT. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

CT Measurements and Correlations with Lung Function

Inspiratory LV was strongly correlated with TLC (r = 0.829, P < .0001) and expiratory LV with RV (r = 0.700, P < .0001), respectively. Table 2 demonstrates CT measurements, including LV measurements, and correlations with other PFT values. All LAA% and MLD values obtained by both inspiratory and expiratory scans demonstrated significant correlations with FEV1%P, FEV1/FVC, RV/TLC, and DLco%P, except for inspiratory MLD with DLco%P.

Overall, CT measurements using expiratory scans were found to be stronger correlates of lung function than those using inspiratory scans. Expiratory MLD showed the highest correlation coefficients with FEV1%P, FEV1/FVC, and RV/TLC (FEV1%P, r = 0.661, P < .0001; FEV1/FVC, R = 0.743, P < .0001; RV/TLC, r = −0.607, P < .0001, respectively) among all CT indices in the study. In contrast, expiratory LAA% showed the highest correlation coefficient with DLco%P (r = 0.633, P < .0001). MLD E/I ratio was significantly correlated with FEV1%P, FEV1/FVC, RV/TLC, and DLco%P (FEV1%P, r = −0.583, P < .0001; FEV1/FVC, r = −0.588, P < .0001; RV/TLC, r = 0.537, P = .0002; DLco%P, r = 0.366, P = .01, respectively).

Inspiratory LV did not show significant correlations with FEV1%P, FEV1/FVC, RV/TLC, or DLco%P; however, expiratory LV demonstrated significant correlations with FEV1%P and FEV1/FVC (FEV1%P, r = −0.406, P = .005; FEV1/FVC, r = −0.588, P < .0001, respectively). Furthermore, LV E/I ratio demonstrated significant correlations with FEV1%P, FEV1/FVC, RV/TLC, and DLco%P (Figure 2, FEV1%P, r = −0.563, P < .0001; FEV1/FVC, r = −0.594,
Correlations of LV Measurements with MLD and LAA\% 

Table 3 demonstrates the correlations of LV measurements with LAA\% and MLD. Overall, the correlations between LV and MLD were higher than those between LV and LAA\%. The correlation coefficient of expiratory LV with expiratory MLD \((r = -0.725, P < .0001)\) was higher than that of inspiratory values \((r = -0.463, P = .001)\). LV E/I ratio also showed a strong correlation coefficient with expiratory MLD \((r = -0.767, P < .0001)\). Further, LV E/I ratio showed a very strong correlation with MLD E/I ratio \((R = 0.952, P < .0001)\). A significant correlation was also found between \(\Delta LV\) and \(\Delta MLD\) \((r = 0.802, P < .0001)\). In the multivariate analysis to predict MLD, a higher contribution of LV was observed in expiratory phase than in inspiratory phase (Table 4). In each phase, both LAA\% and LV were significant predictors for MLD.

\[ P < .0001; RV/TLC, r = 0.571, P < .0001; DLco\%P, r = -0.358, P = .02; \text{respectively}. \]

**Correlations of LV Measurements with MLD and LAA\%**

Table 3 demonstrates the correlations of LV measurements with LAA\% and MLD. Overall, the correlations between LV and MLD were higher than those between LV and LAA\%. The correlation coefficient of expiratory LV with expiratory MLD \((r = -0.725, P < .0001)\) was higher than that of inspiratory values \((r = -0.463, P = .001)\). LV E/I ratio also showed a strong correlation coefficient with expiratory MLD \((r = -0.767, P < .0001)\). Further, LV E/I ratio showed a very strong correlation with MLD E/I ratio \((R = 0.952, P < .0001)\). A significant correlation was also found between \(\Delta LV\) and \(\Delta MLD\) \((r = 0.802, P < .0001)\). In the multivariate analysis to predict MLD, a higher contribution of LV was observed in expiratory phase than in inspiratory phase (Table 4). In each phase, both LAA\% and LV were significant predictors for MLD.
function, including FEV1%P or RVT/TLC, suggesting that and expiratory LV showed significant correlations with lung not been fully investigated. In the current study, LV E/I ratio of LV collapsibility on airflow limitation or air-trapping has with TLC, RVT, or vital capacity(1,2,13,20–22), the impact valve treatment, have demonstrated the correlations of LV in scope(1,2,13,20). Although some CT studies, including measures LV and its correlation to lung function are limited ings for CT studies for COPD.

The published data concerning the utility of CT-based measures LV and its correlation to lung function are limited in scope (1,2,13,20). Although some CT studies, including the studies for lung volume reduction surgery or bronchial valve treatment, have demonstrated the correlations of LV with TLC, RV, or vital capacity (1,2,13,20–22), the impact of LV collapsibility on airflow limitation or air-trapping has not been fully investigated. In the current study, LV E/I ratio and expiratory LV showed significant correlations with lung function, including FEV1% P or RV/TLC, suggesting that the quantitative assessment of LV and LV E/I ratio on paired inspiratory and expiratory CT scans may be of utility in subjects with COPD.

MLD has been investigated by several studies and has been proven to be a good predictor of lung function, in particular on expiratory scans (3–7,12). In addition, MLD E/I ratio has also been found to reflect airflow limitation and air-trapping (3,5,12). We replicated these findings in the current study, and further found a stronger correlation between expiratory LV and MLD (R = −0.725) than between inspiratory LV and MLD (r = −0.463). In addition, on multivariate analysis, using LAA% and LV as predictors of MLD, the relative contribution of LV is higher in the model of expiratory CT than in inspiratory CT. These observations indicate that MLD, in particular expiratory MLD, is very sensitive to volumetric changes of the lung, as well as to the severity of emphysema.

Although the direct relationship between LV and MLD has been rarely investigated (14), the connection between the differences in MLD (ΔMLD) and LV (ΔLV), which are measured using CT scans obtained at two different lung volumes, has been gradually recognized in subjects with COPD or bronchial asthma (2,23). Such observations have also been reproduced in the current study; however, the corre-

DISCUSSION
In the current study, we first reported that LV E/I ratio, which demonstrates the collapsibility of the lung, shows significant correlations with airflow limitation, as well as with air-trapping. MLD measures, particularly in the expiratory phase, are correlated with LV measures. Furthermore, LV E/I ratio strongly correlates with MLD E/I ratio; these two indices can be considered to be equivalent. Higher collapsibility of the lung, obtained by paired inspiratory/expiratory scans, suggests less severe conditions in COPD. We therefore believe that, if expiratory scans are available, measuring LV and LV E/I ratio is recommended because it has complementary meanings for CT studies for COPD.

The upper threshold may be influenced by scanning/reconstruction protocols. In contrast, it could be predicted that LV measures are less sensitive to such differences because LV measurements are simple sums of the voxels/pixels included in the range of thresholds. The upper threshold may be influenced by scanning/reconstruction protocols; however, compared with the sensitivity of MLD or LAA%, LV would be a robust index for protocol differences. It would be of interest to examine whether LV E/I ratio is an alternative, universal CT index for different scanning/reconstruction protocols.

Another advantage of measuring LV collapsibility would be in its connection with airway collapsibility. Recently, Matsuoka and colleagues reported that the collapsibility of the distal bronchi, on paired inspiratory/expiratory CT scans, is a stronger predictor of airflow obstruction than airway luminal area in COPD (27). In their study, higher collapsibility of the airways indicates more severe airflow limitation. Interestingly, in our study, higher collapsibility of the lung field suggests less severe airflow limitation. It would be of interest whether the airways included in the highly collapsed lung, which would indicate good lung function based on the current study, maintain the luminal areas on expiratory scans, and, furthermore, whether the collapsibility of such airways is truly correlated with airflow limitation.

Further, measuring LV on inspiratory and expiratory scans may be also meaningful in lobar segmentation analysis for COPD. Lobar volume analysis has been developed as a preoperative/postoperative assessment for bronchoscopic lung volume reduction (valve treatment) in subjects with progressed emphysema (22,28). Although no published information is available on the collapsibility or air-trapping in each

| TABLE 4. Multivariate Analysis Using LV and LAA% to Predict MLD |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **LV**          | **LAA%**        | **P**           |
| **R²**          | **Std B**       | **P**           |
| Inspiratory phase | 0.721           | −0.336          | <.001 |
| Expiratory phase | 0.760           | −0.458          | <.0001 |

MLD, mean lung density; LV, lung volume; LAA%, low attenuation area percent (<−950 HU); Std B, standardized coefficient B.
lobe in COPD, lobar LV measurements on both inspiratory and expiratory scans may directly reveal the severity of air-trapping in each lobe, which may contribute to the determination of the targeted lobes for lung volume reduction, as well as for further physiological knowledge on lobar heterogeneity/severity in COPD.

We should mention some limitations in the study. First, the number of enrolled subjects was relatively small. For future study, it is recommended to have a larger number of subjects to investigate whether LV collapsibility can distinguish between early/severe COPD patients, smokers with normal lung function, and nonsmoking control subjects.

Second, the threshold of -500 HU for determining the lung parenchyma could be debated. Although several previous papers have adopted this threshold both for inspiratory and expiratory CT scans (2,3,8–10), it has also been reported that a higher threshold should be recommended (1). Because lung density is increased on expiratory CT scans, it could be predicted that LV could be underestimated on expiratory scans with the same threshold, particularly in the subjects with higher collapsibility. Underestimation of MLD would be also expected on expiratory CT, because some of the lung field would be higher than the upper threshold. Although it has been reported that different upper thresholds (<200 to −500 HU) do not significantly influence correlations with TLC or RV (1), and although the results of the current study suggest that expiratory CT values using the threshold are still robust, this issue would be a focus for future investigation.

Third, a lack of subjects’ cooperation, particularly on expiratory scans, was not fully evaluated in the current study. This may be one of the reasons why CT-based LV values do not perfectly match PFT values. A good correlation was observed between inspiratory LV and TLC (r = 0.829). However, the correlation became relatively weak between expiratory LV and RV (r = 0.700), as is reported by other investigators (1,20). Furthermore, LV E/I ratio showed a lower correlation coefficient with RV/TLC (r = 0.571). Even though all the correlation coefficients were statistically significant (P < .0001), this tendency may lead to a question concerning whether LV measurements by CT truly reflect the subject’s respiratory status. It has also been suggested that TLC measured by the helium-dilution or plethysmographic method is larger than inspiratory LV obtained by CT scan (2,29,30). These disturbances, in particular in the expiratory phase, can be explained by a lack of patient cooperation, the difference of the body position, or the larger effort of breath-holding at expiratory CT scanning than at PFT.

Fourth, according to the scanning protocol determined by the LTRC, a relatively high dose of radiation was used in this study. Because it can be predicted that radiation dosage would not influence CT-based LV measures, it is recommended to apply a low-dose technique to future studies for LV measurements.

Fifth, this study focused on CT measures of lung parenchyma and did not evaluate the influence of airway abnormalities. Because airway abnormalities, typically in chronic bronchitis, also significantly influence airflow limitation and air-trapping in COPD, further studies are needed to evaluate the relationship between airway abnormalities/collapsibility and LV measures.

In summary, we first reported that the collapsibility of the lung, which is expressed as LV E/I ratio, reflects lung function, including airflow limitation and air-trapping, and correlates to other CT indices. Expiratory MLD is strongly correlated with LV, and MLD LV E/I ratio can be thought to be almost an equivalent CT index to MLD E/I ratio. Higher collapsibility of LV on inspiratory/expiratory CT scans suggests less severe conditions in smokers with COPD. Future CT studies would provide another perspective on COPD by measuring LV and lung collapsibility.
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